

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

10/589,638	08/16/2006				
		Yuichiro To	294145US8PCT	2296	
22850 755 OBLON, SPIVAL	90 07/02/200 K. MCCLELLAND I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			WONG, JOSEPH D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166		
			NOTIFICATION DATE 07/02/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,638	TO, YUICHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSEPH D. WONG	2166	

	JOSEPH D. WONG	2166						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. \( \times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) M The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		400(-) ! !!						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and								
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a								
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
/Hosain T Alam/	/J. D. W./							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166	Examiner, Art Unit 216	3						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 4, paragraph 6, Applicant refers to an argument on page 10 of the previous remarks that Goodman does not teach comparison between data about files transferred to the device 300 and the data of the files stored in the host system 302. However, elements 300 and 302 appear contrary to the instant specification in that they are not present therefore the argument is spurious. In response that the prior art reference of Goodman does not teach certain features it is noted that neither the claim nor the specification recite said argued features. Therefore claims 1,8 and 15 stand rejected.

On page 4, paragraph 7, Applicant argues that Goodman does not teach "said data processor being further configured to compare the unique acquired recorded data about the transferred contents with the content Ibs of said contents stored in said memory". However, see Final Office Action page 3, last paragraph and page 4, first paragraph. Therefore claim 1 stands rejected.

On page 5, paragraph 2, Applicant argues that Goodman does not teach "comparison" recited in claim 1. Applicant further requested that the Examiner provide the basis in fact and/or technical reasoning to reasonably support the determination that the allegedly inherent characteristic necessarily flows from the teachings of the applied prior art. However, see page 5 of the Final Office Action, last paragraph. The Examiner's rationale is that a play list and search retrieval query to populate playlist necessarily and always involves comparison. Therefore claim 1 stands relected.

On page 5, last paragraph, Applicant argues that Goodman does not teach "said data processor being further configured to compare the acquired recorded data about the transferred contents with the content IDs of said contents stored in said memory. Mere allegation that the Goodman does not teach a "comparison" is not persuasive for reasons discussed supra. Therefore claim 1 stands rejected.

For at least the reasons above all pending claims stand instantly rejected.